

Engineering Notes

Effects of Surface Flats on the Performance of a Remotely Piloted Aircraft

Thomas Nix,* Kenneth Toro,* and Lance W. Traub†
Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University,
Prescott, Arizona 86301

DOI: 10.2514/1.44124

Introduction

THE characterization of the aerodynamic performance of airfoils has been the subject of focused study since the 1910s. An implicitly assumed requirement is that the model fidelity (experimental or computational) is such that it is an exact rendering of the proposed full-scale flight article. In many instances, however, the actual wing profile may not be representative of its design. Generally, this becomes a greater issue as the size and dimensions of the vehicle reduce. With the proliferation of small-scale unmanned aerial vehicles, such concerns have increased in relevance. Wing geometry deviations may be due to damage, poor assembly, or simplified structure and design. This is commonly seen in radio-controlled (R/C) aircraft. Weight and cost considerations result in aircraft composed largely of balsa and plywood with a MonoKote skin covering. Generally, the wing skin is not supported between the ribs or the leading- and trailing-edge spars. This naturally results in flats when the skin is attached and stressed. As a result, the airfoil design and that actually implemented on the aircraft may be significantly different. Although wing/airfoil contour issues or alterations due to effects such as battle damage [1,2] have been investigated, little information appears available on a systematic study of contour effects that may result from R/C modeling techniques.

Consequently, a low-speed wind-tunnel investigation has been undertaken to quantify the effect of surface flats on the behavior of a Clark-Y airfoil. Data presented include surface pressure, wake survey, integral lift and drag coefficients, and surface flow visualization.

Equipment and Procedure

A low-speed 1 by 1 ft open-return wind tunnel was used. Wings were designed in CATIA and then rapid-prototyped using Embry-Riddle's rapid-prototyping facilities, yielding acrylonitrile butadiene styrene plastic wing representations. Two 101.6 mm chord c wings were manufactured and pressure-tapped (see Fig. 1). The wing section was a Clark-Y. The profile was chosen due to its wide spread use in the R/C community and good performance at low Reynolds numbers. The so-called modified wing was composed of flats on the upper and lower surfaces, consistent with typical R/C modeling construction technique (see Fig. 1). Note that for larger-scale R/C

models, thin sheeting may be used to cover the upper leading edge to approximately 30% chord so that flats in this critical region are avoided. The wings spanned the tunnel to facilitate two-dimensional flow. The tappings were arranged in a diagonal at 20 deg to avoid causing transition. A total of 30 taps were used: 20 on the upper surface and 10 on the lower surface. The tubing used for the ports had an internal diameter of 0.8 mm. Care was taken to ensure that the taps were clean and did not protrude from the surface. The taps were formed by passing Tygon® tubing of the appropriate internal diameter through a preformed location hole in the rapid-prototyped wing surface. The tubing was then bonded in place on the inside of the wing and then cut to length using a thin blade held parallel to the surface. This method resulted in cleanly formed pressure taps that were flush with the surface. Locations of the pressure taps are given in Table 1, in which x/c corresponds to locations along the chord length, and u and l correspond to the upper and lower surfaces, respectively. The wake was surveyed using a 26-port rake wake with a vertical spacing of 2.3 mm. The rake was placed approximately 3 chord lengths downstream of the wing's trailing edge. At this location, the wake static pressure should have relaxed to freestream.

An electronic pressure scanner designed and built in-house was used to acquire pressure data. The scanner consists of 30 independent temperature-compensated differential pressure transducers. A custom interface was written in Visual Basic to process and record the measured pressures. The pressure transducer outputs were digitized using a 32-channel 16-bit National Instruments external universal serial bus analog-to-digital converter board. The board allows scanning of the pressures at up to 250,000 readings/second. All presented pressures are the average of 1000 readings. Calibration of the scanner was performed using a FlowKinetics LLC FKT 1DP1A-SV pressure/flow meter. The FKT meter was calibrated against a deadweight primary standard and was within its calibration specifications. Calibration and comparison of the scanner with the FKT meter showed measured pressure agreement within 0.8 Pa for all 30 of the transducers. The uncertainty interval [3] for the pressure coefficient, lift coefficient, and drag coefficient are 0.011, 0.014, and 0.0022, respectively.

The tunnel freestream velocity was measured using the FlowKinetics LLC FKT 1DP1A-SV meter. This meter measures atmospheric pressure, temperature, and relative humidity, all of which are used to compute the density used in the velocity calculation. The tests were conducted at 36.5 m/s, yielding a Reynolds number of 200,000. Meter accuracy is specified by the manufacturer as better than 0.1%. The wing angle of attack was set using a MD SmartTool digital protractor with a resolution of 0.1 deg. Wing set repeatability was also found to be within 0.1 deg. As the tests are essentially comparative, no corrections for wall effects were applied.

Results and Discussion

In the following discussion, the modified airfoil refers to that with flats. Figures 2 and 3 show a data summary composed of upper surface pressures, wake dynamic pressure defects, and lift and drag coefficient plots (determined through integration of pressures). The effect of the upper surface flats is seen to be one of decambering the airfoil indicated through a positive zero-lift angle-of-attack shift of approximately 1 deg and a marginal change of the lift-curve slope (see Fig. 2a). The stall, however, appears to be favorably affected by the flats.

At an angle of attack of -4 deg (Fig. 2b), the upper surface pressure distribution is similar for both wings. At this incidence, the upper surface is the pressure side; consequently, the flats have a reduced impact on the flow. However, the lower surface pressure coefficients are more negative on the modified airfoil, which tends to

Received 3 March 2009; revision received 10 April 2009; accepted for publication 11 April 2009. Copyright © 2009 by the authors. Published by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc., with permission. Copies of this paper may be made for personal or internal use, on condition that the copier pay the \$10.00 per-copy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923; include the code 0021-8669/09 and \$10.00 in correspondence with the CCC.

*Undergraduate Student, Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering Department.

†Associate Professor, Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering Department. Member AIAA.